UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATÉ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/608,757	06/27/2003	Yao Wang	EMC-01-141CIP2	7174
24227 EMC CORDO	7590 09/11/2007		EXAMINER	
EMC CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL			ADAMS, CHARLES D	
176 SOUTH S' HOPKINTON,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/608,757	WANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	` .		
		Charles D. Adams	2164			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	une 2007.				
,	. ,	action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	or .				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
.0,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '	· ·	d)		
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			-,.		
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	-		-) (-l) (f)			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(a) or (t).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	- h h				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		Han Ma			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	·				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		/ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau		. ـ . ـ . ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	'ed.			
			Salle			
A44 1			SAM DIVADA			
Attachmer	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ONVINELL NORTH PRIMARY EXAMINED			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application			
	rademark Office	. — —				

Application/Control Number: 10/608,757 Page 2

Art Unit: 2164

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Remarks

1. In response to communications filed on 14 June 2004, claims 1, 6, 10, and 14 are amended. Claims 1-17 are pending in the application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sicola et al. (US Pre-Grant Publication 2004/0064639) in view of Mashayekhi et al. (US Patent 6,922,791).

As to claim 1, Sicola et al. teaches:

A data transfer server (see paragraphs [0049] and [0054]);

A software agent, designated as a primary software agent, in communication with at least one of the two data storage systems and the data transfer server, the agent configured for performing data transfer operations in response to commands from the data transfer server (see paragraphs [0049], "storage array controllers", [0058], "remote copy set operation", and [0050], which teaches 'host' and 'disaster-tolerant' paths);

Art Unit: 2164

One or more failover software agents in communication with the primary software agent, the data transfer server, and at least one of the two data storage systems, the failover software agents being remote from the primary software agent (see paragraphs [0049]-[0051] and Figure 2. All storage subsystems and all hosts are visible to each other over the SAN. Therefore, as they are connected by various network components, they are remote),

Sicola et al. does not explicitly teach wherein said primary software agent further represents a failover software agent for another primary software agent in another one of said data storage systems;

Mashayekhi et al. teaches wherein said primary software agent further represents a failover software agent for another primary software agent in another one of said data storage systems (see Mashayekhi et al. 6:25-38 and 1:58-16, and 7:42-51 and Figure 2a. There are multiple ways listed in Mashayekhi et al. which provide for a primary software agent serving as a failover agent for another primary agent);

Sicola et al. as modified teaches:

A failover protocol for determining an order which said software agents, within a communication path of the data transfer, are designated to take over the data transfer operation in response to one or more data transfer commands when a failure of one or more of said software agents is determined (see <u>Mashaykhi et al.</u> 6:25-38 and 1:58-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Sicola et al</u>. by the teaching of ... <u>Mashayekhi et al.</u>, since <u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. teaches that "the implementation of a failover

Art Unit: 2164

policy can be crucial when considering the overall high availability of a cluster" (see 1:28-30).

As to claim 2, <u>Sicola et al</u>. as modified teaches wherein the data transfer operation is a replication of data within the data storage environment (see <u>Sicola et al</u>. paragraph [0058]).

As to claim 3, <u>Sicola et al.</u> as modified teaches wherein server commands to the software agents are sent over a network in accordance with an IP protocol (see <u>Sicola et al.</u> paragraph [0053]).

As to claim 4, <u>Sicola et al.</u> as modified teaches wherein the software agents communicate with the at least one data storage system over the network in accordance with a Fibre Channel protocol (see <u>Sicola et al.</u> paragraph [0052]).

As to claim 5, <u>Sicola et al</u>. as modified teaches wherein a predetermined hierarchal relationship is followed by the data transfer server to select the order in which the failover software agents are commanded to take over the work of the one or more determined failed software agents (see <u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. 6:25-38 and 1:58-16, and 7:42-51).

As to claim 6, Sicola et al. teaches:

Art Unit: 2164

A data replication management server (see paragraphs [0049] and [0054]);

A software agent, designated as primary software agent, in communication with at least one of the two data storage systems and the data replication management server, the primary software agent configured for performing data replication operations in response to commands from the data replication management server (see paragraphs [0049]-[0050] and paragraph [0058]);

One or more failover software agents in communication with the primary software agent, the data replication management server, and at least one of the two data storage systems, the failover software agents being remote from the primary software agent (see paragraphs [0049]-[0051] and Figure 2)

Sicola et al. does not explicitly teach wherein said primary software agent further represents a failover software agent for another primary software agent in another one of said data storage systems;

<u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. teaches wherein said primary software agent further represents a failover software agent for another primary software agent in another one of said data storage systems (see <u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. 6:25-38 and 1:58-16, and 7:42-51 and Figure 2a);

Sicola et al. as modified teaches a failover protocol for determining an order in which said software agents, within a communication path of the data transfer (see Sicola et al. paragraphs [0049]-[0052] and Figure 2), are designated to take over the data transfer operation in response to one or more data transfer commands when a

Art Unit: 2164

failure of one or more of said software agents is determined (see <u>Mashayekhi et al.</u> 6:25-38 and 1:58-16, and 7:42-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Sicola et al</u>. by the teaching of <u>Mashayekhi et al</u>., since <u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. teaches that "the implementation of a failover policy can be crucial when considering the overall high availability of a cluster" (see 1:28-30).

As to claim 7, Sicola et al. as modified teaches wherein server commands to the software agents are sent over a network in accordance with an IP protocol (see paragraph [0053]. An "Internet Link" would inherently use an "IP protocol").

As to claim 8, <u>Sicola et al.</u> as modified teaches wherein the software agents communicate with the at least one data storage system over the network in accordance with a Fibre Channel protocol (see paragraph [0052]).

As to claim 9, <u>Sicola et al.</u> as modified teaches wherein the data replication management server uses a predetermined hierarchal relationship to select the order in which designated ones of the failover software agents are commanded to take over the work of the one or more determined failed software agents (see <u>Mashayekhi et al.</u> 6:25-38 and 1:58-16, and 7:42-51).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 10, Sicola et al. teaches a method for managing fault-tolerant resources for replication of data stored in a data storage environment including at least two data storage systems, and wherein data is replicated (see paragraph [0058]) from one of the at least two data storage systems to at least one other data storage system of the at least two data storage systems (see paragraph [0049]), and at least one software agent in communication with at least one data replication management server for managing the fault tolerant resources (see paragraph [0049]), the method comprising:

configuring one or more software agents as failover agents that are in remote communication with another software agent, designated as primary software agent, which is also in communication with the data replication management server, and at least one of the two data storage systems (see paragraphs [0049]-[0051] and [0058])

Sicola et al. does not teach wherein said primary software agent further represents a failover software agent for another primary software agent in another one of said data storage systems;

<u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. teaches wherein said primary software agent further represents a failover software agent for another primary software agent in another one of said data storage systems (see <u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. 6:25-38 and 1:58-16, and 7:42-51 and Figure 2a);

Sicola et al. as modified teaches establishing a failover protocol for determining an order in which said software agents, within a communication path of the data transfer (see Sicola et al. paragraphs [0049]-[0052] and Figure 2), are designated to take over

Art Unit: 2164

the data transfer operation in response to one or more data transfer commands when a failure of one or more of said software agents is determined (see <u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. 6:25-38 and 1:58-16, and 7:42-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Sicola et al</u>. by the teaching of <u>Mashayekhi et al</u>., since <u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. teaches that "the implementation of a failover policy can be crucial when considering the overall high availability of a cluster" (see 1:28-30).

As to claim 11, <u>Sicola et al</u>. as modified teaches wherein server commands to the software agents are sent over a network in accordance with an IP protocol (see paragraph [0053]).

As to claim 12, <u>Sicola et al</u>. teaches wherein the software agents communicate with the at least one data storage system over the network in accordance with a Fibre Channel protocol (see paragraph [0052]).

As to claim 13, <u>Sicola et al</u>. as modified teaches wherein the data replication management server uses a predetermined hierarchal relationship to select the order in which designated ones of the failover software agents is commanded to take over the work of the one or more determined failed software agents (see <u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. 6:25-38 and 1:58-16, and 7:42-51).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 14, Sicola et al. as modified teaches:

A data replication management server (see paragraphs [0049] and [0054]);

A software agent, designated as primary software agent, in communication with at least one of the two data storage systems and the data replication management server, the primary software agent configured for performing data replication operations in response to commands from the data replication management server (see paragraphs [0049]-[0050] and [0058]);

One or more failover software agents in communication with the primary software agent, the data replication management server, and at least one of the two data storage systems, the failover software agents being remote from the primary software agent (see paragraphs [0049]-[0051] and Figure 2);

Sicola et al. does not explicitly teach wherein said primary software agent further represents a failover software agent for another primary software agent in another one of said data storage systems;

<u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. teaches wherein said primary software agent further represents a failover software agent for another primary software agent in another one of said data storage systems (see <u>Mashayekhi et al</u>. 6:25-38 and 1:58-16, and 7:42-51 and Figure 2a);

Sicola et al. as modified teaches a computer-executable program for carrying out a failover protocol for determining an order in which said software agents, within a communication path of the data transfer (see Sicola et al. paragraphs [0049]-[0052] and

Art Unit: 2164

Figure 2), are designated to take over the data transfer operation in response to one or more data transfer commands when a failure of one or more of said software agents is determined (see Mashayekhi et al. 6:25-38 and 1:58-16, and 7:42-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Sicola et al.</u> by the teaching of <u>Mashayekhi et al.</u>, since <u>Mashayekhi et al.</u> teaches that "the implementation of a failover policy can be crucial when considering the overall high availability of a cluster" (see 1:28-30).

As to claim 15, <u>Sicola et al.</u> as modified teaches wherein server commands to the software agents are sent over a network in accordance with an IP protocol (see paragraph [0053]. An "Internet Link" would inherently use an "IP protocol").

As to claim 16, Sicola et al. as modified teaches wherein the software agents communicate with the at least one data storage system over the network in accordance with a Fibre Channel protocol (see paragraph [0052]).

As to claim 17, Sicola et al. as modified teaches wherein the data replication management server uses a predetermined hierarchal relationship to select the order in which designated ones of the failover software agents are commanded to take over the work of the one or more determined failed software agents (see Mashayekhi et al. 6:25-38 and 1:58-16, and 7:42-51).

Application/Control Number: 10/608,757 Page 11

Art Unit: 2164

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2164

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles D. Adams whose telephone number is (571) 272-3938. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM, M - F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles Adams AU2164

cy

SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER